

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/703,839	Applicant(s) TAKAGI, SHIRO	
	Examiner Jared J. Fureman	Art Unit 2876	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO). (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Philip Articola (38,819). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 May 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 5, 6 and 16-18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eldridge et al (US 6,430,601 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Articola was informed that claims 5, 6, 17 and 18 were allowable over the prior art of record, however, claim 16 would be rejected in view of the Eldridge et al reference. Mr. Articola authorized an examiner's amendment to cancel claim 16 and correct claim objections in claims 17 and 18, in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required